Case 2:22-cv-00920 (F)/1L POON Ent SH^{-1} (03/10/22 Page 1 of 17

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	(222227			DEFENDANT	'S				
Galina Dvoirin and Jury Kheifetz, w/h				Costco Wholesale Corporation					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery Cour (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			ty, P₁	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant King County, WA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)					
Jeffrey R. Lessin, Leffrey R. Lessin & Associates, P.0 1515 Market St., Ste. 1650, Phila. PA 19102,			;.,	Warren F. Sperling, Bennett, Bricklin & Saltzburg LLC 1500 Market St., 32nd Floor, West Tower, Phila. PA 19102					
	j.lessin@lessinlaw.c				,	2nd Floor, West To erling@hhs-law.co	,	PA 19	9102
II. BASIS OF JURISDI			III. CI		PRIN	CIPAL PARTIES (
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	(ot a Party)	Citiz		PTF 1	DEF 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	ncipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship)	o of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2	2 Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	X 5
				en or Subject of a [reign Country	3	3 Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	<u></u> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						k here for: Nature of S			
CONTRACT	TOI			ORFEITURE/PENALTY		BANKRUPTCY	OTHER S		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane [315 Airplane Product Liability [PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other		422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL	7ithdrawal 376 Qui Tam 8 USC 157 3729(a)		C
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				PROPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			_	820 Copyrights 830 Patent	430 Banks an 450 Commer		ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability [368 Asbestos Personal				835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportat		, ,
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			Ь,	New Drug Application 840 Trademark	470 Racketee Corrupt (
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR		880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consume	er Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	□71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act		Act of 2016	(15 USC) 485 Telephor		
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	□ 172	20 Labor/Management		SOCIAL SECURITY	Protection		inci
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations		861 HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/Sa		
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage		10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	_	862 Black Lung (923)	850 Securitie		odities/
	Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	H'	Leave Act		863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchang 890 Other Sta		ctions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		00 Other Labor Litigation	□ 8	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultu		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	□ ⁷⁹	1 Employee Retirement	-	EEDEDAL TAVOLITO	893 Environm		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		Income Security Act		FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act	of illion	mation
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence				or Defendant)	896 Arbitration		
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations	530 General		**************************************		871 IRS—Third Party	899 Administ		
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	146	IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Applicati	on	26 USC 7609	Act/Revi Agency I	-	-
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Othe		55 Other Immigration			950 Constitut		
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions			State Stat	tutes	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -							
	L	Conditions of							
V ODICIN m		Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in 1 Original 2 Ren	1.0	Remanded from	74 Rein	stated or 5 Trans	forrad f	from 6 Multidistri	ct 🗆 8 N	Multidis	trict
	te Court A	Appellate Court	Reop	pened Anoth	her Dist <i>ify)</i>	rict Litigation - Transfer	- '' I	Litigatio Direct F	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 U.S.C. §§1332, 1441	AND 1446	e filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional s	tatutes u	inless diversity):			
	Brief description of cau Personal injury action	ise:							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23	S A CLASS ACTION , F.R.Cv.P.	•	EMAND \$ \$50,000		CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in o	complai No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			1	DOCKET NUMBER			
March 10, 2022		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			1-						
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	,	JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
(215) 665-3334	(215) 561-6661	sperling@bbs-law.com	
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
March 10, 2022	Warren F. Sperling	Defendant	
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tra s complex and that need spec side of this form for a detaile	cial or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injur	ry or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for arb	pitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der	requesting review of a decis nying plaintiff Social Securit	ion of the Secretary of Health by Benefits.	()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2	2241 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	use Management Track Designer a copy on all defendants. (Sevent that a defendant does shall, with its first appearance	y Reduction Plan of this court, counse gnation Form in all civil cases at the tin See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the re- not agree with the plaintiff regarding see, submit to the clerk of court and ser rack Designation Form specifying the gned.	me of verse g said ve on
Costco Wholesale Corporation	: :	NO.	
v.	:		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Gailna Dvoirin and Jury Kheifetz, w/h

Case 2:22-cv-00920-kindled Species on the castern district of Pennsylvania Page 3 of 17

	(to be used by counsel or pro se plaints	DESIGNATI iff to indicate the category of t			nment to the appropria	te calendar)
	f Plaintiff: 311 Ferris Lane, Do		<u> </u>			
	f Defendant: 999 Lake Drive, Iss		<u> </u>			
	ccident, Incident or Transaction:		d, North V	Vales, PA 194	154	
RELATED	CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number	er:	Judge:			Date Terminated: _	
Civil cases a	are deemed related when Yes is answere	ed to any of the following	questions:			<u></u>
	case related to property included in an eusly terminated action in this court?	earlier numbered suit pendi	ing or with	in one year	Yes	No X
pending	his case involve the same issue of fact or g or within one year previously termina	ated action in this court?		•	Yes	No X
number	his case involve the validity or infringer ared case pending or within one year pre	eviously terminated action (of this cour	t?	Yes	No X
	case a second or successive habeas corpled by the same individual?	ous, social security appeal,	or pro se c	ivil rights	Yes	No X
this court ex	t, to my knowledge, the within case capt as noted above. arch 10, 2022	/\ O ₀	no		36676	ously terminated action in y I.D. # (if applicable)
CIVIL: (Plac	ce a √in one category only)					
	ce a √ in one category only) ederal Question Cases:		B. Dive	rsity Jurisdiction Co	ases:	
A. Fe □ 1. Inc □ 2. FE □ 3. Jo □ 4. Ar □ 5. Pa □ 6. La □ 7. Ci □ 8. Ha □ 9. Se □ 10. So □ 11. Al			□ 1. □ 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8.	Insurance Contra Airplane Personal Assault, Defamat Marine Personal Motor Vehicle Pe Other Personal Ir Products Liability Products Liability All other Diversity	ct and Other Contract I Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y — Asbestos ty Cases	Olin and Fall
A. Fe □ 1. Inc □ 2. FE □ 3. Jo □ 4. Ar □ 5. Pa □ 6. La □ 7. Ci □ 8. Ha □ 9. Se □ 10. So □ 11. Al	ederal Question Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and ELA Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and ELA		□ 1. □ 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 7. □ 8. □ 9.	Insurance Contra Airplane Personal Assault, Defamat Marine Personal Motor Vehicle Po Other Personal Ir Products Liability Products Liability All other Diversity (Please specify):	ct and Other Contract I Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y — Asbestos ty Cases	Slip and Fall
A. Fe □ 1. Inc □ 2. FE □ 3. Jo □ 4. Ar □ 5. Pa □ 6. La □ 7. Ci □ 8. Ha □ 9. Se □ 10. So □ 11. Al	ederal Question Cases: ademnity Contract, Marine Contract, and ELA ones Act-Personal Injury ntitrust atent abor-Management Relations ivil Rights fabeas Corpus ecurities Act(s) Cases ocial Security Review Cases all other Federal Question Cases Please specify):		1. 2. 3. 4. 5. X 6. 7. 8. 9.	Insurance Contra Airplane Personal Assault, Defamat Marine Personal Motor Vehicle Po Other Personal Ir Products Liability Products Liability All other Diversity (Please specify):	ct and Other Contract I Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y – Asbestos ty Cases	Slip and Fall
A. Fe 1. Int 2. FE 3. Jo 4. Ar 5. Pa 6. La 7. Ci 8. Ha 9. Se 110. So 111. Al	ederal Question Cases: ademnity Contract, Marine Contract, and ELA ones Act-Personal Injury ntitrust atent abor-Management Relations ivil Rights fabeas Corpus ecurities Act(s) Cases ocial Security Review Cases all other Federal Question Cases Please specify):	ARBITRATION ARBITRATION ARBITRATION ARBITRATION ARBITRATION IS TO PERFORM ARBITRATION ARBI	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. X 6. 7. 8. 9. ON CERTIF	Insurance Contra Airplane Personal Assault, Defamat Marine Personal Motor Vehicle Po Other Personal Ir Products Liability Products Liability All other Diversity (Please specify):	ct and Other Contract I Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y – Asbestos ty Cases	Slip and Fall
A. Fe 1. Inc 2. FE 3. Jo 4. Ar 5. Pa 6. La 7. Ci 8. Ha 9. Se 10. So 11. Al (Pa	ederal Question Cases: addemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and ELA ones Act-Personal Injury ntitrust atent abor-Management Relations ivil Rights abeas Corpus ecurities Act(s) Cases ocial Security Review Cases all other Federal Question Cases Please specify): (The effe	ARBITRATION ARBITRATION IS to respect to this certification is to respect to the period of the perio	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Insurance Contra Airplane Personal Assault, Defamat Marine Personal Motor Vehicle Pe Other Personal Ir Products Liability Products Liability All other Diversi (Please specify):	ct and Other Contract I Injury cion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y — Asbestos ty Cases	Slip and Fall
A. Fe 1. Int 2. FE 3. Jo 4. Ar 5. Pa 6. La 7. Ci 8. Ha 9. Se 110. So 111. Al (Pa	ederal Question Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and ELA Index Act-Personal Injury Intitrust Intent Index Actor And Injury Intitrust Intent Inte	ARBITRATION ARBITR	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Insurance Contra Airplane Personal Assault, Defamat Marine Personal Motor Vehicle Pe Other Personal Ir Products Liability Products Liability All other Diversi (Please specify):	ct and Other Contract I Injury cion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y — Asbestos ty Cases	Slip and Fall

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

GALINA DVOIRIN and JURY KHEIFETZ, w/h

CIVIL ACTION NO.

VS.

CIVILITICI

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

AND NOW, comes defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, for the purpose only of removing the cause to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and respectfully avers as follows:

- 1. This is a civil action filed and now pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, No. 2022-01938.
- 2. On February 10, 2022, plaintiffs filed their Civil Action Complaint. (See attached true and correct copy of the Complaint marked as Exhibit "A"). The Complaint was served on February 28, 2022.
- 3. The averments made herein are true and correct with respect to the date and time upon which the Complaint was filed and served, and the date upon which this notice is being filed.
- 4. This suit is of a civil nature and involves a controversy between citizens of different states. Plaintiffs are citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Washington with their principal place of business at 999 Lake Drive, Issaquah, WA 98027.
- 5. Defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, has simultaneously with the filing of this notice, given written notice to the plaintiffs.

- 6. Defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, is also filing a copy of the instant notice of removal and all attachments thereto with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County.
- 7. The Complaint asserts claims against the defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, for personal injuries allegedly sustained in an incident that occurred on September 16, 2020, at the Costco Warehouse located at 740 Upper State Road, North Wales, PA 19454.
- 8. Defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, seeks to remove this matter to the United State District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, asserts that the amount in controversy in this matter exceeds \$75,000. As the moving party, defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, bears the burden of proving that jurisdiction is proper in federal court. Russ vs. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company, 961 F.Supp. 808, 810 (E.D. Pa. 1997).
- 9. In determining whether the jurisdiction amount has been satisfied, the Court must first look at the complaint. Angus vs. Shiley, Inc., 989 F.2d 142, 145 (3rd Circ. 1993).
- 10. The underlying lawsuit demands an amount "in excess of the amount for compulsory arbitration" (which is currently \$50,000). Plaintiffs allege that plaintiff, Galina Dvoirin, suffered severe and permanent injuries, including but not limited to: tear of the meniscus of the right knee; contusion, sprain and strain of the right knee; left knee contusion, sprain and strain superimposed on previously asymptomatic degenerative disease; lumbar sprain and strain; painful traumatic injury to the left wrist; anxiety; and severe shock to the nerves and nervous system. Plaintiff, Galina Dvoirin, was or may have been otherwise injured, whereby plaintiff has suffered and may continue to suffer in the future. The complaint also asserts that the plaintiff has spent unspecified amounts for medicine and medical care.

11. Plaintiffs have declined to stipulate to limit their damages to \$75,000 in order to avoid the jurisdiction of this Court, as confirmed in an email exchange with Mark Richter, Esquire on March 10, 2022.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, hereby removes this suit to this Honorable Court pursuant to the laws of the United States in such cases made and provided.

BENNETT, BRICKLIN & SALTZBURG LLC

BY:

WARREN F. SPERLING, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D. #36676 Centre Square, West Tower 1500 Market Street, 32nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 561-4300

Email: sperling@bbs-law.com
Attorney for Defendant,

Costco Wholesale Corporation

Date: March 10, 2022

GALINA DVOIRIN and JURY KHEIFETZ, w/h

CIVIL ACTION NO.

VS.

:

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION

NOTICE

To: Jeffrey R. Lessin, Esquire Jeffrey R. Lessin & Associates, P.C. 1515 Market Street, Suite 1650 Philadelphia, PA 19102

Please take notice that defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, has filed a Notice of Removal to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania removing to that Court a civil action previously pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, entitled, Galina Dvoirin and Jury Kheifetz, w/h v. Costco Wholesale Corporation, No. 2022-01938.

BENNETT, BRICKLIN & SALTZBURG LLC

BY:

WARREN F. SPERLING, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D. #36676 Centre Square, West Tower 1500 Market Street, 32nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 561-4300

Email: sperling@bbs-law.com
Attorney for Defendant,

Costco Wholesale Corporation

Date: <u>March 10, 2022</u>

GALINA DVOIRIN and JURY KHEIFETZ, w/h

CIVIL ACTION NO.

VS.

.

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION

PROOF OF FILING

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

.

COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

Warren F. Sperling, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is a member in the law firm of Bennett, Bricklin & Saltzburg LLC, attorneys for defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation.

That he did direct the filing with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, a copy of the Notice of Removal, attached hereto, said filing to be made on March 10, 2022.

BENNETT, BRICKLIN & SALTZBURG LLC

BY:

WARREN F. SPERLING, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D. #36676 Centre Square, West Tower 1500 Market Street, 32nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 561-4300

Email: sperling@bbs-law.com

Attorney for Defendant,

Costco Wholesale Corporation

GALINA DVOIRIN and JURY KHEIFETZ, w/h

CIVIL ACTION NO.

VS.

.

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION

PROOF OF SERVICE

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

.

COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

Warren F. Sperling, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is a member in the law firm of Bennett, Bricklin & Saltzburg LLC, attorneys for defendant, Costco Wholesale Corporation, and that he did serve this 10th day of March, 2022, the aforementioned notice to plaintiffs upon the individual named below by electronic notification by the Court or depositing a copy of same in the United States first class mail, postage prepaid, enclosed in envelope plainly addressed to:

Jeffrey R. Lessin, Esquire Jeffrey R. Lessin & Associates, P.C. 1515 Market Street, Suite 1650 Philadelphia, PA 19102

BENNETT, BRICKLIN & SALTZBURG LLC

BY:

WARREN F. SPERLING, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D. #36676 Centre Square, West Tower 1500 Market Street, 32nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 561-4300

Email: sperling@bbs-law.com

Attorney for Defendant,

Costco Wholesale Corporation

Exhibit "A"

Case# 2022-01938-0 Docketed at Montgomery County Prothonotary on 02/10/2022 8:51 AM, Fee = \$290.00. The filer certifies that this filing complies with the provisions of the Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts that require filing confidential information and documents.

JEFFREY R. LESSIN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

BY: JEFFREY R. LESSIN, ESQUIRE

I.D. #43801

BY: MARK T. RICHTER, ESQUIRE

I.D. #45195

1515 MARKET STREET, STE. 1650

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

(215)-599-1400 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

GALINA DVOIRIN and : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF

JURY KHEIFETZ, w/h

311 Ferris Lane : MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Doylestown, PA 18901 :

vs.

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION : 740 Upper State Road : NO.

North Wales, PA 19454 :

CIVIL ACTION - COMPLAINT - NOTICE TO DEFEND PREMISES LIABILITY

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED IN COURT. IF YOU WISH TO DEFEND AGAINST THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES, YOU MUST TAKE ACTION WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER THIS COMPLAINT AND NOTICE ARE SERVED, BY ENTERING A WRITTEN APPEARANCE PERSONALLY OR BY AN ATTORNEY AND FILING IN WRITING WITH THE COURT YOUR DEFENSES OR OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH AGAINST YOU. YOU ARE WARNED THAT IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO THE CASE MAY PROCEED WITHOUT YOU AND A JUDGMENT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU BY THE COURT WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE FOR ANY MONEY CLAIMED OR FOR ANY OTHER CLAIM OR RELIEF REQUESTED BY THE PLAINTIFF. YOU MAY LOSE MONEY OR PROPERTY OR OTHER RIGHTS IMPORTANT TO YOU.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Montgomery County Bar Association Lawyer Referral and Information Service 100 W. Airy St., Norristown, PA 19401 (610)279-9660 LE HAN DEMANDADO A USTED EN LA CORTE. SI USTED QUIERE DEFENDERSE DE ESTAS DEMANDAS EXPUESTAS EN LAS PAGINAS SIGUIENTES, USTED TIENE VIENTE (20) DIAS, DE PLAZO AL PARTIR DE LA FECHA DE LA DEMANDA Y LA NOTIFICATION. HACE FALTA ASENTAR UNA COMPARENCIA ESCRITA O EN FORMA ESCRITA SUS DEFENSAS O SUS OBJECIONES A LAS DEMANDAS EN CONTRA DE SU PERSONA. AVISADO QUE SI USTED NO SE DEFIENDE, LA CORTE TOMARA MEDIDAS Y PUEDE CONTINUAR LA DEMANDA EN CONTRA SUYA SIN PREVIO AVISO O NOTIFICACION. ADEMAS, LA CORTE PUEDE DICIDIR A FAVOR DEL DEMANDANTE Y REQUIERE QUE USTED CUMPLA CON TODAS LAS PROVISIONES DE ESTA DEMANDA. USTED PUEDE PERDER DINERO O SUS PROPIEDADES U OTROS DERECHOS IMPORTANTES PARA USTED.

THIS IS A MAJOR CASE

Assessment of Damages Hearing is Required

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO INMEDIATAMENTE. SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE DE TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL.

Asociacion de Liceneados de Montgomery County Servicio de Referencia e Informacion Legal 100 W. Airy St., Norristown, PA 19401 (610)279-9660

CIVIL ACTION - COMPLAINT

- Plaintiffs, GALINA DVOIRIN and JURY KHEIFETZ, are adult individuals, wife and husband, who reside together at 311 Ferris Lane, Doylestown, PA 18901.
- 2. Defendant, COSTCO WHOLESALE CORP. (hereinafter referenced as "Defendant COSTCO"), is a corporation or other business entity which has a place of business located at 740 Upper State Road, North Wales, PA 19454, and which regularly conducts business in Montgomery County, PA.
- 3. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant **COSTCO** owned or leased, possessed, occupied, and managed the building located at 740 Upper State Road, North Wales, PA 19454 (hereinafter referenced as "Premises").and operated a business commonly known as Costco Wholesale at the Premises.
- At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN was a business invitee
 in the Premises to whom Defendant COSTCO owed the highest duty of care.
- 5. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant COSTCO acted by and through its authorized agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, all acting within the course and scope of their agency and/or employment with Defendant COSTCO.
- 6. On September 16, 2020, Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN was lawfully present in the Premises when she slipped, stumbled, and fell because she had stepped onto an accumulation of a slippery gel-like substance on the floor of the Premises in the vicinity of the check-out area. The fall caused Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN to suffer serious injuries (more fully hereinafter described).

- 7. The gel-like substance on the floor of the Premises was a defective condition which rendered the Premises unsafe, and which posed a great risk to business invitees. The defective condition was a danger, menace, nuisance, trap and/or hazard to persons lawfully in the vicinity of the said defective condition.
- 8. Defendant **COSTCO** exclusively owned, operated, maintained, possessed, controlled and/or managed the Premises where Plaintiff **GALINA DVOIRIN** was injured.
- 9. The defective condition of the Premises was created by Defendant COSTO, or it had existed for a considerable time prior to Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN's fall, such that Defendant COSTCO had either actual and/or constructive notice of the defective condition.
- 10. Defendant **COSTCO** had a duty to correct, remedy, repair, warn about, and/or eliminate the said defective condition.

COUNT I GALINA DVOIRIN vs. COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION Negligence

- 11. Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN hereby incorporates paragraphs one (1) through ten(10) above by reference, as fully as though they were set forth here at length.
- 12. Defendant COSTCO was careless and negligent and it breached the duty of care that it owed to Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN.
- 13. The carelesness and negligence of Defendant COSTCO consisted of the following acts and or omissions:
 - a. Creating a dangerous and defective condition in the Premises;

- Failing to properly and adequately inspect the walking surface in the Premises;
- Failing to warn business invitees of the defective condition of which
 Defendant was aware or should have been aware;
- failing to select and hire competent employees and/or contractors to maintain, clean, and/or repair the walking surface in the Premises;
- Failing to take necessary action to safeguard invitees lawfully upon the Premises and to prevent them from falling;
- f. Allowing the aforesaid defective condition to continue to exist, so as to constitute a menace, danger, nuisance, trap and/or hazard to invitees lawfully walking in the Premises; and,
- g. Failing to maintain the walking surfaces in the Premises in a reasonable manner.
- 14. As a direct result of the aforesaid negligence of Defendant COSTCO as above averred, Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN suffered severe and permanent injuries, including but not limited to: tear of the meniscus of the right knee; contusion, sprain and strain of the right knee; left knee contusion, sprain and strain superimposed on previously asymptomatic degenerative disease; lumbar sprain and strain; painful traumatic injury to the left wrist; anxiety; and severe shock to the nerves and nervous system. Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN was or may have been otherwise injured, whereby Plaintiff has suffered and may continue to suffer in the future.

- 15. As a direct result of the aforesaid negligence of Defendant COSTCO, Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN has in the past, and will in the future, undergo severe pain and suffering.
- 16. Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN believes and therefore avers that her injuries are serious and permanent in nature.
- 17. As a direct result of the aforesaid occurrence, Plaintiff **GALINA DVOIRIN** has been compelled, in order to effectuate a cure for her aforesaid injuries, to expend sums of money for medicine and medical attention, and she may be required to expend additional sums for the same purposes in the future.
- 18. As a direct result of the aforesaid occurrence, Plaintiff **GALINA DVOIRIN** has been prevented from attending to her usual activities and duties, and may be so prevented for an indefinite time in the future, all to her great detriment and loss.
- 19. As a direct result of the aforesaid occurrence, Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN has suffered physical pain and mental anguish and humiliation and she may continue to suffer the same for an indefinite period of time in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff GALINA DVOIRIN demands damages of Defendant, COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, in a sum in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND (\$50,000.00) DOLLARS plus costs.

JURY KHEIFETZ vs. COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION Loss of Consortium

20. Plaintiff **JURY KHEIFETZ** hereby incorporates paragraphs one (1) through nineteen (19) above by reference, as fully as though they were set forth here at length.

- 21. Plaintiff **JURY KHEIFETZ** is the husband of Plaintiff **GALINA DVOIRIN**, and, as such, has incurred expenses for the treatment of his wife's injuries and may in the future be caused to incur additional expenses as he has in the past.
- 22. As a direct result of the aforesaid occurrence, Plaintiff **JURY KHEIFETZ**, to his great damage and loss, has been deprived of the society, companionship, services and assistance of his wife to which he is legally entitled.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JURY KHEIFETZ demands damages of Defendant, COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, in a sum in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND (\$50,000.00) DOLLARS plus costs.

JEFFREY R. LESSIN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

BY:

JEFFREY R. LESSIN, ESQUIRE

TY P. RYAN, ESQUIRE

Jeffrey R. Lessin

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ATTORNEY'S VERIFICATION

Jeffrey R. Lessin, Esquire, attorney for Plaintiffs, Galina Dvoirin and Jury Kheifetz, w/h, state that the statements made in the foregoing Civil Action Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief; and that this statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

JEFFREY R. LESSIN, ESQUIRE ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS